Transcript for ICW_Lynn_Kirkpatrick (1).mp3

0:00:00 --> 0:00:06

Hello and welcome to the DDW Podcast.

0:00:06 --> 0:00:10

Podcast covering topics around drug discovery and development,

0:00:10 --> 0:00:11

Pharma and biotech.

0:00:11 --> 0:00:15

I'm Megan Thomas, multimedia editor at DDW,

0:00:15 --> 0:00:18

and this episode is part of the "In conversation with" Series,

0:00:18 --> 0:00:22

where I speak with members of the drug discovery industry about their work

0:00:22 --> 0:00:26

and how it helps turn science into business.

0:00:26 --> 0:00:29

Today, I'm in conversation with Dr. Lynn Kirkpatrick,

0:00:29 --> 0:00:33

CEO of Ensysce BioSciences.

0:00:33 --> 0:00:37

As we all know, the opioid epidemic is one of the biggest issues

0:00:37 --> 0:00:40

in the United States today.

0:00:40 --> 0:00:44

As of late, it has been a crackdown to prevent overdosing

0:00:44 --> 0:00:47

and abuse of opioids, but it still leaves patients with a need

0:00:47 --> 0:00:49

for pain medication.

0:00:49 --> 0:00:54

Currently, Ensysce BioSciences is headed into Phase three clinical trials

0:00:54 --> 0:00:58

with a drug that improves the care and safety of patients

0:00:58 --> 0:01:01

by preventing the possibility of both abuse and overdose

0:01:01 --> 0:01:03

of prescription drugs.

0:01:03 --> 0:01:07

Today, Dr. Kirkpatrick talks about how they are developing the safer option

0:01:07 --> 0:01:12

to opioid painkillers and how it prevents abuse and overdose.

0:01:12 --> 0:01:15

Hello, Lynn, thank you for joining me today.

0:01:15 --> 0:01:17

The first question I have for you is,

0:01:17 --> 0:01:22

how has the company's Trypsin Activated Abuse Protection been developed

0:01:22 --> 0:01:25

in order to avoid recreational abuse?

0:01:25 --> 0:01:29

Well, let's first start talking about why we're developing TAAP

0:01:29 --> 0:01:32

and there's some very interesting numbers, obviously,

0:01:32 --> 0:01:35

in the US on people in pain.

0:01:35 --> 0:01:41

The CDC estimates approximately 20% or 50 million Americans

0:01:41 --> 0:01:43

suffer from chronic pain.

0:01:43 --> 0:01:50

And about 17 million people have severe high-impact chronic pain.

0:01:50 --> 0:01:56

Now, the American society also estimates,

0:01:56 --> 0:01:59

there's approximately 2 million people abusing opioids.

0:01:59 --> 0:02:03

So here we have dueling crisis, big numbers on both sides,

0:02:03 --> 0:02:07

and that's really why we're focused on the problem.

0:02:07 --> 0:02:12

When we began developing TAAP or Tripsin Activated Abuse Protection product

0:02:12 --> 0:02:15

we observed the market really was crowded

0:02:15 --> 0:02:18

in what we felt was a fairly primitive approach

0:02:18 --> 0:02:19

to limit abuse.

0:02:19 --> 0:02:23

And this is called abuse deterrent formulations.

0:02:23 --> 0:02:25

These are ADFs.

0:02:25 --> 0:02:28

And really, the key word is deterrent.

0:02:28 --> 0:02:32

The idea behind these is that there are physical means

0:02:32 --> 0:02:39

to reduce abuse or limit the rate of release of the opioids,

0:02:39 --> 0:02:42

such as a hard shell or a gel.

0:02:42 --> 0:02:44

As we know, unfortunately, the mechanisms,

0:02:44 --> 0:02:48

these physical mechanisms haven't really deterred abuse.

0:02:48 --> 0:02:51

We still have the problem because a lot of these can be broken.

0:02:52 --> 0:02:58

So I'm a medicinal chemist when I saw the smart chemical approach of Ensysce,

0:02:58 --> 0:03:01

I was immediately intrigued.

0:03:01 --> 0:03:04

Our TAAP or Trypsin Activated Abuse Protection,

0:03:04 --> 0:03:07

, the name says it all, their protection,

0:03:08 --> 0:03:09

is a chemical approach.

0:03:09 --> 0:03:12

They're engineered at the chemical level to reduce abuse.

0:03:13 --> 0:03:19

And we can apply them to opioids or, in fact, any drug that we apply these to.

0:03:19 --> 0:03:25

This chemical approach really makes the opioid inactive until it swallowed.

0:03:26 --> 0:03:28

And it's hard to break.

0:03:28 --> 0:03:34

So in contrast to the ADFs, we have the same pain relieving effects of opioids

0:03:34 --> 0:03:37

and harder to break and a few other advantages.

0:03:38 --> 0:03:45

The name Trypsin or TAAP, Trypsin is an enzyme in our small intestine.

0:03:45 --> 0:03:48

It's there to digest our protein products.

0:03:48 --> 0:03:55

And we need that to start the activation process to release the opioid.

0:03:55 --> 0:04:00

So the chemistry is actually responsible rather than the physical means

0:04:00 --> 0:04:02

to release the opioid slowly over time.

0:04:02 --> 0:04:07

And that means somebody can't take our product and chew it.

0:04:07 --> 0:04:12

They can't crush it to snort it and injecting won't activate it

0:04:12 --> 0:04:15

because it has to be exposed to Trypsin.

0:04:16 --> 0:04:18

Our clinical data shows it works.

0:04:18 --> 0:04:21

You swallow the drug, the opioid is released,

0:04:21 --> 0:04:29

and we've shown actually an added benefit is that our products last longer than the ADFs.

0:04:30 --> 0:04:35

And this is critical because if you're prescribed a pain reliever to take twice a day

0:04:35 --> 0:04:40

and it's not lasting till your next product, you start self-medicating,

0:04:40 --> 0:04:42

potentially leading to additional problems.

0:04:42 --> 0:04:47

And that could be a potential reason why we have the problem today.

0:04:48 --> 0:04:54

Right now, we know the approach to STEM abuse by limiting opioids

0:04:54 --> 0:04:57

is really being wrongly applied.

0:04:57 --> 0:04:59

We're hurting those people who are in chronic pain.

0:04:59 --> 0:05:04

There was a really interesting article in the New York Times just recently

0:05:04 --> 0:05:12

describing the horrors that people in chronic pain are living with when they

0:05:12 --> 0:05:14

can't get access to the pain relievers.

0:05:14 --> 0:05:19

So really that's why we're developing these next generation of opioids.

0:05:19 --> 0:05:20

Great, thank you so much.

0:05:20 --> 0:05:24

That really does give us a great overview, great starting point there.

0:05:24 --> 0:05:28

So how has tap been developed in order to ensure overdose protection?

0:05:29 --> 0:05:34

Again, if we look at the numbers, we're losing actually two Americans

0:05:34 --> 0:05:38

every hour to prescription opioid overdose.

0:05:38 --> 0:05:40

And that's really why we're focusing.

0:05:40 --> 0:05:44

We believe we need a product not only for abuse protection, but overdose protection.

0:05:45 --> 0:05:48

And our approach, which we refer to as MPAR,

0:05:48 --> 0:05:57

multi-pill abuse resistant really, again the name says it all, people who take our MPAR products

0:05:57 --> 0:06:05

will still get that same pain relief, but it's designed to put the breaks on the release of the

0:06:05 --> 0:06:08

opioid if somebody consumes too much.

0:06:08 --> 0:06:14

It's a combination product of our TAAP opioid and a Trypsin inhibitor, which sounds a little

0:06:14 --> 0:06:15

counterintuitive.

0:06:15 --> 0:06:18

We refer to it as smart overdose protection.

0:06:18 --> 0:06:21

If you take your prescription, you get your pain relief.

0:06:21 --> 0:06:27

If you take too much, you're consuming more of the inhibitor of Trypsin.

0:06:27 --> 0:06:33

So it blocks the activation and protects from an overdose.

0:06:33 --> 0:06:36

And we've recently completed our clinical trial.

0:06:36 --> 0:06:41

We've demonstrated the concept works at the prescribed dose.

0:06:41 --> 0:06:44

One or two pills, the opioid is released.

0:06:44 --> 0:06:51

As you start dose escalating, either self-medicating or if you want to try and get high,

0:06:52 --> 0:06:57

with this more inhibitor, you're blocking the release and preventing the overdose.

0:06:57 --> 0:07:02

So here we have two distinct categories, our MPAR products and our TAAP products,

0:07:02 --> 0:07:06

and we're moving these both through the regulatory process in parallel.

0:07:07 --> 0:07:09

Thanks for that answer.

0:07:09 --> 0:07:15

So this treatment is anticipated to be the first FDA approved product to make opioid safer

0:07:15 --> 0:07:20

for patients with chronic severe pain with the promise of eliminating accidental and deliberate

0:07:20 --> 0:07:24

overdose. Could you please explain why this is so significant?

0:07:24 --> 0:07:29

Well, obviously, people are concerned to have opioids in the cabinet

0:07:30 --> 0:07:32

And about taking opioids.

0:07:32 --> 0:07:37

If like me, you take your medication and then a little bit later, you say,

0:07:37 --> 0:07:41

did I take my prescription and you double the dose.

0:07:41 --> 0:07:48

Here we have something that will prevent you from overdosing accidentally versus just trying to

0:07:48 --> 0:07:54

say I want to get high, I'm going to take a whole handful or on purpose, you take a lot of the

0:07:54 --> 0:08:00

medication. So in both cases, this product will prevent an overdose.

0:08:00 --> 0:08:04

Great, thank you so much. That really does give us a great overview.

0:08:04 --> 0:08:10

So my next question is the company's molecule, PF614, is currently in phase two clinical trials

0:08:10 --> 0:08:15

and fast track by the FDA. What are the next steps assuming success in the clinic?

0:08:16 --> 0:08:20

Well, we're really at a highly interesting and motivating time.

0:08:20 --> 0:08:26

We're stressing that so far all of our clinical data has been very supportive.

0:08:26 --> 0:08:32

We've shown a good safety signal, reduced abuse potential, we've shown efficacy,

0:08:32 --> 0:08:38

all highly positive. So in other words, we've had success, we've de-risked the programs,

0:08:38 --> 0:08:44

and we're currently presenting this full package of data to the FDA, discussing our plans for

0:08:44 --> 0:08:51

phase three, and that's scheduled for the end of January. This makes us poised to move into our

0:08:51 --> 0:08:56

pivotal trials in the second half of the year. And two things we've learned from our clinical

0:08:56 --> 0:09:04

studies that have some patient benefit, our TAAP analgesics and PF614 can be taken with or without

0:09:04 --> 0:09:10

food. And this is important if you're in pain and have no appetite, you can still get your pain

0:09:10 --> 0:09:17

really. As I mentioned previously, our longer half-life means that we can be prescribed twice a day.

0:09:17 --> 0:09:24

We have a 12-hour half-life. So with this twice a day dosing, people shouldn't have breakthrough

0:09:24 --> 0:09:31

pain before their second dose. So we believe this problem of self-medicating and the cycle that

0:09:31 --> 0:09:39

people get in having pain relief and no pain will be something for our product to have a benefit

0:09:40 --> 0:09:47

with. So we've shown PF614 is effective, it's safe, it delivers oxycodone whether it's taken

0:09:47 --> 0:09:54

with or without food, and our phase three program should be relatively low-risk. So this next

question

0:09:54 --> 0:09:59

I have, you actually probably feel like you've answered in parts specifically with relation to

0:09:59 --> 0:10:05

taking it with food, but how does the safety profile compare to other traditional opioids?

0:10:05 --> 0:10:14

We've already shown PF614 is effective and really the safety profile is identical to other opioids

0:10:14 --> 0:10:22

on the market. So with the added benefit, we believe of having less abuse potential. That really

0:10:22 --> 0:10:31

feels that PF614 is no different. We've shown it has effectively the same release profile as

oxycodone.

0:10:31 --> 0:10:38

We can substitute our product for oxycontin and should have no problem using PF614

0:10:38 --> 0:10:45

and being a safer product based on the abuse potential. Great, thank you so much. Now the next

0:10:45 --> 0:10:50

question I have for you is how have drugs in development progressed from animal models to

0:10:50 --> 0:10:57

human clinical trials? Well, we have two products in our pipeline, our lead product PF614, as

mentioned,

0:10:57 --> 0:11:05

a TAAP modified oxycodone has the same release profile as oxycontin. It's progressed through phase

two.

0:11:06 --> 0:11:12

We've shown efficacy and it's actually poised for the last stage of clinical development over

0:11:12 --> 0:11:21

the next two years. Our combination product, PF614-MPAR with overdose protection, has

demonstrated

0:11:21 --> 0:11:28

safety, it's demonstrated oxycodone delivery and overdose protection in our first clinical study.

0:11:28 --> 0:11:35

So now we're set to have a discussion with the FDA and try to move this as quickly as possible

0:11:35 --> 0:11:41

through development into clinical development. Great, thanks very much. And now this

0:11:41 --> 0:11:47

next

question is a broad one and possibly varying into philosophical, but do you think opioids are

0:11:47 --> 0:11:53

still the most effective treatment for patients with severe pain?

Well, certainly I do, but I think

0:11:53 --> 0:11:59

more importantly, the experts do as well. And there was really a reason why opioids have been used

0:11:59 --> 0:12:07

for 3,000 years to treat pain. They're fundamentally the only thing effective for some severe pain,

0:12:07 --> 0:12:15

not necessarily for all pain. That's why we have different classes, but obviously opioids need

0:12:15 --> 0:12:22

safety guardrails like cars need seatbelts. Have we cracked the code with TAAP and MPAR?

0:12:22 --> 0:12:29

Maybe we have an about to turn the corner. We believe we can deliver a safer opioid to help

0:12:29 --> 0:12:37

millions of people who struggle with pain daily and products that are safer should be used.

0:12:38 --> 0:12:44

Thank you very much. I'm interested to know if you've found that regulatory attitudes towards

0:12:44 --> 0:12:51

these sorts of drugs has put you in a position where you're up against a harder challenge or whether

0:12:51 --> 0:12:56

that's more of a public issue than it is a regulatory one and what your experience has been in this

0:12:56 --> 0:13:03

development process. Again, especially in the US and I guess in many countries.

I think there is an

opiophobia. In some countries they don't prescribe opioids. For many reasons and certainly in the

US because

of the opioid use over the last decades that have led to problems and the promise that other

products

were safe and we're going to solve the problems of abuse and never did deliver a solution.

Our products may be look too good to be true, but I can tell you I've seen the data. I know

our products deliver an opioid, can treat pain and I really believe we have an advance in the field.

We are moving our products through development and hopefully from our clinical trials we can prove

that both to the public and to the regulatory bodies to get this product on the market and show

people who are concerned about using opioids because all of the media and everything else that

there

is a safer option. Great, thank you so much. It's a very exciting prospect and I wish you lots of luck

and look forward to reading more results and updates from the company. Thank you for joining me

today.

Thank you so much. Thanks for having me. We certainly love to talk about our products and really

do believe a benefit for those people who are suffering from severe pain and we're working as

quickly as we can to get this on the market.

Well that brings us to the end of this

in conversation with episode. Thank you Lynn for your insight today and thank you to our audience

for listening. If you are enjoying this content be sure to join free today to become a member of

Drug Discovery World. Membership includes full access to the website including regular news and

0:14:50 --> 0:14:57

exclusive content, weekly e-newsletter, podcast, podcast, wide papers e-books and information

0:14:57 --> 0:15:04

for trusted third party. Head to our website at www.ddw-online.com for more information.

0:15:05 --> 0:15:07

Thanks for listening.